# Keck School of Medicine of USC



# Genomic analysis of esophageal carcinoma (EC) identifies recurrent mutations in histone methyltransferases as a distinctive subset

Jingyuan Wang<sup>1</sup>, Joanne Xiu<sup>2</sup>, MatthewOberley<sup>2</sup>, Francesca Battaglin<sup>1</sup>, Hiroyuki Arai<sup>1</sup>, Shivani Soni<sup>1</sup>, Wu Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Richard M. Goldberg<sup>3</sup>, Anthony F. Shields<sup>4</sup>, Axel Grothey<sup>5</sup>, Jimmy J. Hwang<sup>6</sup>, John L. Marshall<sup>7</sup>, Igor Astaturov<sup>8</sup>, Benjamin A. Weinberg<sup>7</sup>, Emil Lou<sup>10</sup>, Michael J Hall<sup>11</sup>, Rachna T. Shroff<sup>12</sup>, Moh'd Khushman<sup>13</sup>, Mohamed E. Salem<sup>14</sup>, Davendra P.S. Sohal<sup>15</sup>, Aaron J. Scott<sup>12</sup>, Sanjay Goel<sup>16</sup> and Heinz-Josef Lenz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California <sup>2</sup> Caris Life Sciences, Phoenix, Arizona, USA.<sup>3</sup> West Virginia University Cancer Institute, Morgantown, West Virginia.<sup>4</sup> Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan.<sup>5</sup> West Cancer Center and Research Institute, Germantown, TN.<sup>6</sup> Levine Cancer Institute, North Carolina <sup>7</sup> Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, D.C.<sup>8</sup> Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.<sup>9</sup> University of Miami/Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, Miami, Florida <sup>10</sup> University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota <sup>11</sup>Department of Clinical Genetics, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA. <sup>12</sup> Division of Hematology/Oncology, University of Arizona Cancer Center, Tucson, AZ.<sup>13</sup> Mitchell Cancer Institute, University of South Alabama.<sup>14</sup> Levine Cancer Institute, Carolinas HealthCare System, Charlotte, North Carolina.<sup>15</sup> Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio <sup>16</sup> Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ.

### Introduction

Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2 (KMT2) family proteins methylate lysine 4 on the histone H3 tail at important regulatory regions in the genome and thereby impart crucial functions through modulating chromatin structures and DNA accessibility[1], which is associated with tumorigenesis and immune tolerance, indicating its possible correlation with the efficacy of immunotherapy.

Recurrent mutations of *KMT2* have been identified in EC, but data addressing the molecular features of KMT2 mutated (MT) EC are lacking. We aimed to understand the molecular profile of *KMT2*-MT EC.

#### **Methods**

A total of 787 oesophageal carcinoma [adenocarcinoma (EAC), N=604; squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), N=183] were analyzed using next-generation sequencing (NGS) and immunohistochemistry (Caris Life Sciences, Phoenix, AZ).

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) was calculated based on somatic 14.0% 13.0% 12.5% nonsynonymous mutations, and mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR)/microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) status was evaluated by a combination of IHC, Fragment analysis and NGS.

For PD-L1 expression, PD-L1 SP142 clone was used. PD-L1 positivity was defined as TPS≥1.

Immune-related overall survival (irOS) was defined as the time from initial immunotherapy treatment to the day of death or the end of follow-up.

25.0% 20.0% 15.0% 10.0%

5.0%



## Results



Fig1. KMT2 mutations in oesophageal carcinoma [adenocarcinoma (EAC), N=604; squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), N=183].



Fig2. Mutational landscape of KMT2-MT EA patients, compared with KMT2-WT EA patients. However, in ESCC there were no significant differences in gene mutations between the KMT2-MT and WT groups.



This is the largest study to investigate the distinct genomic landscapes between KMT2-MT and WT EC to date. Our data showed the KMT2-MT EC has a distinctive genetic profile, indicated by higher TMB, and higher frequency of dMMR/MSI-H and gene mutations involved in DDR and epigenetic regulation. Understanding these molecular characteristics may be informative in the development of effective treatment strategies in KMT2-MT EC.



**Abstract ID: 379** 

wangjy\_2015pku@163.com

Fig3. TMB in EAC and ESCC with KMT2-MT, compared with KMT2-WT EA patients.

#### Conclusion