

# Genomic and Transcriptomic Landscape of HER2-Low Breast Cancer



# Rani Bansal<sup>1</sup>, Julie McGrath<sup>2</sup>, Matias A. Bustos<sup>3</sup>, Estelamari Rodriguez<sup>4</sup>, Sarah L. Sammons<sup>1</sup>, Melissa K. Accordino<sup>5</sup>, Jane Meisel<sup>6</sup>, Margaret E. Gatti-Mays<sup>7</sup>, Emily Hsu<sup>8</sup>, Kate Lathrop<sup>2</sup>, Philip Walker<sup>2</sup>, W. Michael Korn<sup>2</sup>, Stephanie L. Graff<sup>8</sup>

1 Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University Hospital, 2 Caris Life Sciences, 3 Saint John's Cancer Center, 5 Columbia University Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, 6 Emory Winship Cancer Center, 7 The James Cancer Hospital and Solove Research Institute, The Ohio State University, 8 Legorreta Cancer Center at Brown University/Lifespan Cancer Insitute, Providence RI

## BACKGROUND

- Breast cancer has pioneered precision medicine with prognostic and predictive subtypes.
- HER2-low (H2L) has emerged as a novel therapeutic entity.
- H2L defined: HER2 IHC 1+ or 2+ with negative in-situ hybridization (ISH) assay.
- H2L represents about 50% of all breast cancer.
- Investigation into the mutational landscape of H2L compared to historical subtypes will shape understanding of the clinical and biologic factors driving mechanisms of resistance and consideration of post-progression treatment options within H2L populations.

## METHODS

**Data Source**: H2L breast tumors identified in the Caris Life Sciences database of >11,000 samples.

## **Outcomes:**

■ 南部道 ■

- Mutations detected by DNA next-generation sequencing (NextSeq 592 gene panels or NovaSeq whole exome sequencing).
- PD-L1 IHC expression (SP142 IC  $\geq$  1%).
- Tumor mutational burden (TMB), total somatic mutations per-tumor (high  $\geq$  10 mutations per megabase).

**Analysis:** Fisher's-Exact/Mann Whitney/ $\chi$ 2 tests, significance determine by Benjamini-Hochbergcorrection-adjusted p-value (q value) < 0.05.

## Author Information

s presentation is the intellectual property of the author/presenter. Contact them for permission to reprint and/or distribute. (

**Contact** – rani.bansal@duke.edu **@**DrRaniBansal

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium 2022



Figure 1

## HR-/H2L similar to TNBC and are immune "hot" compared to HR+/H2L tumors





Figure 2

HR- Cohort by Her2 Staining Figure 3

## Markers of IO Response



# 20 -

TP53 Figure 4



## RESULTS

# PIK3CA is higher in HR-/H2L vs. TNBC



## **Overall H2L breast cancer similar to classic** molecular subtype of either HR+ or TNBC

## SUMMARY

- 22% of all tumors were identified as H2L; 27% of HR+ and 13% of HR- tumors are H2L (Figure 1).
- Increased frequency of amplifications in HR+/H2L tumors compared to HR-/H2L in CCND1 (15.6% vs 5.0 %), FGF3 (13.3% vs 4.7%), FGF4 (13.3% vs 4.2%), FGF19 (14.4% vs 4.7%), ZNF703 (15.6% vs 4.4 %), NSD3 (12.9% vs 5.2%), ADGRA2 (13.1% vs 5.3%), FGFR1 (11.7% vs 3.6%), and EMSY (5.2% vs 1.4%) (all q<0.05, Figure 9).
- TP53 mutations were significantly higher in HR-/H2L (75.4% vs 25%; q < 00001) compared to HR+ H2L.
- PIK3CA mutations were higher in HR-/H2L compared to TNBC (33.4% vs 16.7% q < 00001) (Figure 4, 5).
- Markers of IO response (PD-L1 positivity and TMB-H) similar between HR-/H2L and TNBC subtype.(Figure 6).
- M1 and M2 macrophage abundance differs between HER2 subtypes (Figure 7).

## CONCLUSIONS

- With some exceptions, H2L breast cancer shared genomic features with its more classically defined subset of either HR+ or HR- disease.
- Notable differences in PIK3CA (an actionable mutation warrant additional assessment, as do the differences in gene amplification between groups.
- Our findings confirmed that H2L Breast cancer is not a separate entity, but is driven largely by a classic subset.
- As such, future trial designs in H2L breast cancer should be developed, either escalating or deescalating therapy, evaluating resistance, or postprogression therapy.



**D D BROWN** Legorreta Cancer Center