



University of Genoa

Abstract ID: 3120

THE ASCO FOUNDATION 1. Medical Oncology Unit 1, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy; 2. Caris Life Sciences, Phoenix, AZ, US; 3. Levine Cancer Institute, Charlotte, NC, US; 4. Department of Internal Medicine V (Hematology and Oncology), Innsbruck, Austria; 5. West Virginia University Cancer MERIT AWARD Institute, Morgantown, WV, US; 6. Brown University and Lifespan Cancer Institute (LCI), Providence, RI, US; 7. Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, US; 8. Duke Cancer Institute, Durham, NC, US; 9. Masonic Cancer Center, University of Minnesota. RECIPIENT Minneapolis, MN, US; 10. Karmanos Cancer Institute, Detroit, MI, US; 11. USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA, US; 12. University of Cincinnati, University of Cincinnati Cancer Institute, Cincinnati, OH, US

Background

Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome (HBOC) due to a BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene mutation is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion [1]. Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi) are effective therapies for some patients with either germline or somatic BRCA1/2 mutations or with homologous recombination repair deficiency (HRD) [2]. While BRCA1 and BRCA2 perform similar functions in DNA damage repair, they encodes completely distinct proteins, and big differences exist in terms of the types of cancers [3] and the histopathological characteristics associated with mutations within these genes [4]. In addition, some evidence shows that mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 may have a prognostic value [5]. Nevertheless, molecular differences between patients carrying BRCA1 vs BRCA2 pathogenic variations and whether these differences may have impact on prognosis and/or prediction of PARP inhibitors efficacy is not well-described [6].

For these reasons, we aimed to describe the molecular landscape of solid tumors harboring pathogenic variations in BRCA1 vs BRCA2 genes. In addition, we further sought to investigate whether different associations exist with microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB) and other HRD-related genes between BRCA1 vs BRCA2 mutated populations using real world data (RWD). We investigated the molecular differences between BRCA1 vs BRCA2 mutated tumors by tumor location and the molecular differences between different tumor types among BRCA1/2 mutated population. Finally, we evaluated the impact of BRCA1 vs BRCA2 mutations on outcomes and response to treatment (PARP inhibitors and/or platinum-based therapy).

Methods

- > A total of 17,640 tumors that underwent comprehensive genomic profiling by Caris Life Sciences (Phoenix, AZ) were identified from a retrospective database.
- > Whole Exome Sequencing was done on genomic DNA isolated from a microdissected, formalin-fixed paraffinembedded tumor sample using the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencers. A hybrid pull-down panel of baits designed to enrich for more than 700 clinically relevant genes at high coverage and high read-depth was used, along with another panel designed to enrich for an additional >20,000 genes at lower depth. A 500Mb SNP backbone panel (Agilent Technologies) was added to assist with gene amplification/ deletion measurements.
- > MSI was examined by a combination of fragment analysis, NGS and immunohistochemistry.
- > TMB was measured by counting all non-synonymous missense, nonsense, inframe insertion/deletion and frameshift mutations found per tumor that had not been previously described as germline alterations in dbSNP151, Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) databases or benign variants identified by Caris geneticists. A cutoff point of ≥ 10 mutations per MB was used.
- > Chi-square/Fisher-Exact tests were performed for comparative analysis using SPSS v23 (IBM SPSS Statistics), and significance was determined by p < 0.05 after adjusting for multiple comparison.
- > Real-world overall survival (OS) information was obtained from insurance claims data and Kaplan-Meier estimates were calculated for molecularly defined patient cohorts.

References

- Petrucelli N, et al. GeneReviews. 1993
- 2. Sachdev E, et al. Target Oncol 2019
- Casaubon JT. StatPearls 2021

- 4. Vocka M, et al. Cancers (Basel) 2019
- 5. Byrd LM, et al. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008
- 6. Rebbeck TR, et al. JAMA 2015.

A Comprehensive Landscape of BRCA1 vs BRCA2 Associated Molecular Alterations and Survival **Outcome Across 35 cancer types**

Alberto Puccini¹, Joanne Xiu², Arielle L. Heeke³, Andreas Seeber⁴, Richard M Goldberg⁵, Wafik S. El-Deiry⁶, Stephen V. Liu⁷, Sarah L. Sammons⁸, Emil Lou⁹, Philip CONQUER A. Philip¹⁰, John Marshall⁷, Anthony F Shields¹⁰, Heinz-Josef Lenz¹¹, Thomas Herzog¹², W. Michael Korn², and Mohamed E Salem³



Table 1: BRCA1 and 2 mutations in the investigated cohort

Figure 1: lollipop plots of BRCA1 (top) and BRCA2 (bottom) mutations



60% - 519 50% - -Exome-LOH MMR IHC-PD-L1 IHC-PD-L1 IHC-PD-L1 IHC-PD-L1 TMB (>=10) deficiency (22c3) (SP142) FDA (28-8) FDA(SP142) BRCA1 mut cohort-all
BRCA2 mut cohort - all



- When compared to *BRCA2* mutations, *BRCA1* were more often associated with gLOH-H and *TP53* mutations
- In univariate analyses, overall BRCA1/2 mutations were associated with improved OS compared to wild type. This effect was seen in ovarian and triple-negative breast cancers (TNBC)
- In all breast cancers, *BRCA2* mutations had a superior OS compared to *BRCA1*
- Using RWD, PARPi treated-patients with *BRCA2* mutations had worse OS than *BRCA1* mutations
- different cancer types using RWD.



Robert G. Cox Memorial Endowed Merit Award in Molecularly Targeted Developmental Therapeutics

Supported by Michael Craig Cox, PharmD, MHS, BCOP

CANCER®

• *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations had variable power to be prognostic and predictive for PARPi efficacy among